## **Answer to Electromagnetism Example Question 23**

In the time-dependent case we still have that

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_o} \qquad [\text{ME 1})]$$

but now (result obtained in lectures)

$$\underline{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \underline{A}}{\partial t}$$

Substitution of this in ME 1) leads to

$$-\nabla^2 V - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \underline{A} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_a}$$

If we then use the Lorentz gauge with  $\nabla \cdot \underline{A} = -\mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$  as given in the question we obtain, after rearrangement, our first required result that

$$\nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_o} + \mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2}$$

We also have, as usual, from ME 3) that

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0 \implies B = \nabla \times A$$

Substituting this in ME 4) we obtain

$$\nabla \times \underline{B} = \nabla \times \nabla \times \underline{A} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \underline{A}) - \nabla^2 \underline{A} = \mu_o \underline{J} + \mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial \underline{E}}{\partial t}$$

Substituting our Lorentz gauge expression for  $\nabla \cdot \underline{A}$  and and again employing

$$\underline{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \underline{A}}{\partial t}$$
 this leads to

$$\nabla \left( -\mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \nabla^2 \underline{A} = \mu_o \underline{J} + \mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \underline{A}}{\partial t} \right)$$

Our judicious choice of the Lorentz gauge leads to cancellation of the term  $-\mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla V$  which appears on both sides of our eqn. leading to the second of our desired results, after rearrangement, that

$$\nabla^2 \underline{A} = -\mu_o \underline{J} + \mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial^2 \underline{A}}{\partial t^2}$$